

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 26, 2003 LR 14

chance, that the court would say to the petition people, no, this does not...did not violate the single subject rule and, yes, you can put it on the ballot and, yes, you could even do it on a special election if you want. Now, special elections are primary elections or any election that is held at any time of the year. That's what a special election is, anything other than a general election. So even though I think it's a slim chance, it is conceivable that you could have a...this petition ballot on the...or this petition on the ballot sometime between now and the general election next year. That's why I think we need to get something ready now, just in case that happens. A second question that...the second question that I think that we need to think about is, of course, what form should that constitutional amendment take? Should it be broad in scope, or should it be somewhat specific and narrower and contained, so that the people will know what to expect? I think all the time we're talking about this issue in here this session, we need to also reflect on what the people would vote for and what the people want. Now I think if there is only one thing on the ballot they'll vote for it, no matter what it is. But if we give them a reasonable alternative to anything else that might be out there, I really think they'll take that, and I don't think people in this state want all-out expanded gambling. The third question would be, should the amendment permit casinos and Class III gaming anywhere in the state, or should it try to limit the number of casinos and encourage what are euphemistically called maybe destination casinos; in other words, not the little shop in every town that says casino on the side of the building, but casinos that have some attractions for tourists and people who want to go somewhere nice for entertainment, primarily. The problem I think with the other kind of casino is it's too available to people and it does really encourage gambling on a daily basis. Fourth question is, should the whole state benefit or should just one particular area of the state benefit? And if we think the whole state should benefit, then how do we accomplish that? And I, personally, feel that the whole state has to benefit, and I hope that we have a real good discussion on that part of it. And if we don't have the whole state benefit, then what are the chances of passage, again? Probably not very good. The fifth question that we should think about is, should revenues be used for